arXiv:1612.01135v1 [hep-th] 4 Dec 2016

On the entropy product of rotating black holes in three-dimensions

Davood Mahdavian Yekta [1]

Department of Physics, Hakim Sabzevari University, P.O. Box 397, Sabzevar, Iran

Abstract

It has been shown that the product of the entropies (areas) of inner Cauchy and outer event horizon of the charged axisymmetric and stationary black holes is a universal formula, which is independent of the black hole's mass. In this paper, we investigate this universality for the two kinds of rotating black holes in the three-dimensional gravity models. In fact, we study the space-like warped Anti-de Sitter black hole in the new massive gravity and the Bañados, Teitelboim and Zanelli black hole in the minimal massive gravity. We show that this rule is held in the first theory. By contrast, in the latter case which includes a holographic gravitational anomalous term, we obtain that the universality does not work and the product depends on the mass. As a complementary to the above verification, we also study the thermodynamic properties of these black holes.

[1] d.mahdavian@hsu.ac.ir

1 Introduction

The black holes can be expected to play an essential role in understanding the quantum theory of gravity in any dimension, which their mechanics is governed by four laws of thermodynamics [1] (known as the black hole thermodynamics). About one-half of the century ago, Bekenstein and Hawking asserted that the entropy of black holes at the microscopic level is proportional to the area of their event horizons [2, 3]. A qualitative demonstration of this assertion has been done in string theory by counting the microscopic degrees of freedom [4]. In all of the theories, whether containing string theory or gravity, the results depend on the existence of an Anti-de Sitter(AdS) factor in the background or in the near horizon of solutions [5], [6](see also Refs. in [6]).

Even though most of the physical quantities of black holes are related to their outer event horizons, recently, the inner Cauchy horizon mechanics have also been important [7,8]. It seems that studying the properties of the inner horizon may be help to better understand the non-extremal charged and rotating solutions in string theory and gravity [9]- [14]. There are similar intensive thermodynamical quantities for the inner Cauchy horizon as outer event horizon, which can illuminate whether the first law of black hole thermodynamics works for them or not [15]- [17].

It has been shown in Refs. [8] and [15] that we can construct a quantity from the entropies of non-extremal black holes, which is independent of the continuous parameters in the theory, such as the black hole's ADM mass. This is the entropy (area) product of black holes, which is called the entropy-product law (EPL) or inner mechanics. On the other words, the product of the entropies for the inner and outer horizons of charged rotating black holes, i.e. $S^+ S^-$, is independent of the black hole's mass and only depends on the quantized parameters, such as angular momentums or electromagnetic charges. However, on the conformal field theory (CFT) side, this corresponds to the level matching condition of the left and right moving modes as $(N_L - N_R)$ [10]- [12]. Most of the works have been done about the EPL in four- and five-dimensional theories, which some of them are in string theory as charged solutions of supergravity and heterotic theories, [9], [13] and the others are asymptotically flat solutions of pure gravity as Kerr-Newman, rotating charged black ring and black string [17].

In this paper, we investigate the EPL for two kinds of higher-derivative gravitational models in three dimensions (3D). The reason to study the higher-derivative theories of gravity is that they have been attracting much attentions in recent years in the context of quantum gravity. It is known that such higher-derivative theories in four dimensions typically suffer from the ghost instability and unitarity problems [18], [19]. However, we are interested in three-dimensional(3D) gravity theories to better understand the implications of quantum theory of gravity. As another motivation, since pure gravity in 3D has no physical degrees of freedom, we pursue the higher derivative gravities or cosmological models.

In this sense, new massive gravity(NMG) [20] may be considered as the simplest higher-derivative gravity and expected to possess some essential properties, which could be inherited to the higher dimensional counterpart. Though NMG is a ghost-free [21,22], unitary [23], and renormalizable [24] toy model around the flat background, it was shown that the theory suffers from ghosts around the AdS background except for the case with a fine-

tuning between the coupling constants [25]. (This fine-tuning does not give a degenerate maximally symmetric vacuum but gives the vanishing central charge of the dual theory in the AdS/CFT context [26, 27].) As the second theory, minimal massive gravity(MMG) [28] is a four-derivatives theory at the level of equations of motion, which includes one massive degree of freedom similar to the topologically massive gravity(TMG) [29] theory. Not only this is a unitary theory in the bulk, but also it has a unitary dual CFT on the boundary for some values in the parameter space of the theory [28]. A particular feature of this theory is that it has no Lagrangian in the metric formalism, while is formulated in the first-order canonical structure [28], [30].

The most important feature of these 3D models is that they have black hole solutions similar to their higher dimensional counterparts in string theory or gravity. In fact, we will focus on the space-like warped AdS (WAdS_3) black hole [31,32] in the NMG theory and the Bañados, Teitelboim and Zanelli(BTZ) black hole [33] in the MMG theory. The analysis for both solutions in TMG was discussed in Ref. [34]. In the first case, we will show that the EPL is proportional to the angular momentum of the WAdS_3 black hole, whereas in the latter, this does not happen for the BTZ black hole. This behavior is referred to the diffeomorphism anomaly [35] in the MMG theory. We also discuss about the thermodynamical quantities of the inner and event horizons in each sector and show that they satisfy the first law of black hole thermodynamics and Smarr-like formula for the mass.

The organization of the paper is as follows; In Sec. 2, we first introduce the NMG theory and then write the WAdS_3 black hole as a solution of the equations of motion for this theory. In the other subsections, we find the conserved charges and the entropy for this black hole and compare the result with the asymptotic structure. As the main purpose, we compute the EPL to testify its universality and then corroborate the thermodynamic laws. In Sec. 3, we will do similar procedure for the BTZ black hole in the MMG theory. Finally, in the last section we discuss about the results and give a brief summary.

2 WAdS_3 black hole in the NMG sector

The NMG is the simplest ghost free, parity-even, forth-derivatives higher curvature gravity in (2+1)-dimensions, which could be inherited to the Gauss-Bonnet gravity counterpart in higher dimensions. Since we can write the Riemann tensor in terms of the Ricci and Ricciscalar tensors in 3D. This theory is described by the following action [20]

$$I_{NMG}=\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int d^3x\sqrt{-g}\left(R-2\Lambda_0+\frac{3}{8m^2}R^2-\frac{1}{m^2}R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}\right). \tag{2.1}$$

where Λ_0 is a cosmological constant term of mass dimension 2 and $\kappa=8\pi G_3$ is the Newton's constant. This theory has some kind of solutions which are not maximally symmetric ($R_{\mu\nu}=-\Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$) [31,32], [36]- [38]. One of them is the space-like WAdS_3 black hole, which has an asymptotically warped AdS behavior, and is not the solution of the equations of motion for the pure gravity with cosmological term. The line element of the WAdS_3 black hole in the ADM formalism [39] is given in Ref. [31] by

$$ds^2=-N^2\,l^2\,dt^2+\frac{l^2dr^2}{4N^2K^2}+K^2\,l^2\,(d\varphi+N_\varphi dt)^2\,, \tag{2.2}$$

where the functions N, K, and N_φ are

$$N^2 = \frac{(\nu^2+3)(r-r_+)(r-r_-)}{4K^2}\,, \quad N_\varphi = \frac{2\nu r - \sqrt{(\nu^2+3)\,r_+r_-}}{2K^2}\,,$$
$$K^2 = \frac{r}{4}\left[3(\nu^2-1) + (\nu^2+3)(r_++r_-) - 4\nu\sqrt{(\nu^2+3)\,r_+r_-}\right]. \tag{2.3}$$

The parameter ν is a constant warped factor and r_+, r_- are the outer and inner horizons, respectively. Substituting the metric (2.2) in the equations of motion for the action (2.1), we can determine the cosmological constant and the warped factor in terms of other constant parameters m, l as

$$\nu^2 = \frac{2\,m^2l^2+3}{20}\,, \quad \Lambda_0 = \frac{4\,m^4l^4 - 468\,m^2l^2 + 189}{400\,m^4l^4}\,, \tag{2.4}$$

where m is a mass parameter in action (2.1) and l is the length scale in the background (2.2).

2.1 Conserved charges

In order to investigate the EPL, we need to obtain the conserved charges of the WAdS_3 black hole in this theory. We have found these physical quantities from the canonical first order formalism, which the details of calculation are given in Ref. [40](see also Refs. in [40]). The mass and angular momentum of the black hole, which are the conserved charges associated to the Killing vectors ∂_t and ∂_ϕ, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \frac{\nu^2(\nu^2+3)}{G(20\nu^2-3)}\left[r_++r_- - \frac{1}{\nu}\sqrt{(\nu^2+3)r_+r_-}\right], \\ J &= \frac{\nu^3(\nu^2+3)l}{4G(20\nu^2-3)}\left[\left(r_++r_- - \frac{1}{\nu}\sqrt{(\nu^2+3)r_+r_-}\right)^2 - (r_+-r_-)^2\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Here, the ADT formalism [41,42] can not be used to determine the conserved charges (ADT conserved charges), since this formalism only works for the asymptotically AdS-like solutions in the NMG, such as BTZ black hole.

We can compute the entropy of the WAdS_3 black hole by using the Wald's formula [43]

$$S = 4\pi A_h\Big(\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta\mathcal{R}_{0202}}(g^{00}g^{22})^{-1}\Big)_h\,, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the 3D NMG Lagrangian of the action (2.1) and $A_h = 2\pi r_h$ is the area of the event horizon. So, for the event horizon $r_h = r_+$ it becomes

$$S = \frac{4\pi\nu^2 l}{G(20\nu^2-3)}\,(2\nu\,r_+ - \sqrt{(\nu^2+3)\,r_+r_-}\,)\,. \tag{2.7}$$

This result is also consistent with the value which was calculated from the AdS/CFT correspondence [40]. The asymptotic symmetry group of the WAdS_3 has the isometry group of the $SL(2,R)\times U(1)$, which the first is a Virasoro algebra and the latter is a Kač-Moody algebra. Using the Sugawara construction [44], we can find an $SL(2,R)\times SL(2,R)$ symmetry described by two versions of the Virasoro algebras with central charges [40]

$$c_L = c_R = c = \frac{96\nu^3 l}{G(\nu^2+3)(20\nu^2-3)}\,. \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore, if there would be such a two-dimensional CFT at the asymptotic boundary of this solution, we can calculate the entropy from the Cardy's formula [45]

$$S = \frac{\pi^2 l}{3}(c_R T_R + c_L T_L)\,, \tag{2.9}$$

where T_L, T_R are the left and right temperatures defined in Ref. [31]

$$T_L \equiv \frac{\nu^2+3}{8\pi l}(r_+ - r_-), \quad T_R \equiv \frac{\nu^2+3}{8\pi l}\left(r_+ + r_- - \frac{1}{\nu}\sqrt{(\nu^2+3)\, r_+ r_-}\right). \tag{2.10}$$

However, we can also define the left and right zero mode energies as in Ref. [37] by

$$E_L = \frac{\pi^2}{6} c_L T_L^2 = \frac{(20\nu^2-3)G}{4\nu l(\nu^2+3)}\, M^2\,, \qquad E_R = \frac{\pi^2}{6}\, c_R T_R^2 = \frac{(20\nu^2-3)G}{4\nu l(\nu^2+3)}\, M^2 - \frac{J}{l^2}\,, \tag{2.11}$$

where from the another Cardy's formula

$$S = 2\pi\left(\sqrt{\frac{c_L E_L}{6}} + \sqrt{\frac{c_R E_R}{6}}\right), \tag{2.12}$$

we again arrive to the result in (2.7).

2.2 Thermodynamics

So far, we have obtained the entropy for the event horizon (r_+) of the WAdS$_3$ black hole. To fix our convention, we denote its entropy given in (2.7) by S^+. The Killing vector field which generates the mass is a time-like vector field on the event horizon, thus the mass has positive value, while the Killing horizon vector field being space-like inside the black hole event horizon and we assign negative energy or ADM mass ($-M$) to the inner horizon (r_-), similar to the negative energies within the ergosphere. Classically the inner Cauchy horizon is perturbatively unstable; the physical implications of this instability have been recently revisited in Ref. [16]. Our purpose is to illustrate how the inner horizon could impact a statistical interpretation of the black holes thermodynamics.

The entropy is computed for the space-like Killing vector field $\xi_- = -\frac{4\pi}{\kappa}(\partial_t + \Omega\partial_\phi)$, as

$$S^- = \frac{4\pi\nu^2 l}{G(20\nu^2-3)}\,(2\nu\, r_- - \sqrt{(\nu^2+3)\, r_+ r_-}\,), \tag{2.13}$$

which can be calculated similarly from Cardy-like formula

$$S^- = \frac{\pi^2 l}{3}(c_R T_R - c_L T_L)\,, \tag{2.14}$$

or equivalently by

$$S^- = 2\pi\left(\sqrt{\frac{c_R E_R}{6}} - \sqrt{\frac{c_L E_L}{6}}\right). \tag{2.15}$$

Now we are ready to verify the EPL for the WAdS$_3$ black hole in the NMG sector. From the relations (2.7) and (2.13), and comparing with conserved charges (2.5) we obtain

$$\frac{S^+ S^-}{4\pi^2} = \frac{c}{6}\, J\,, \tag{2.16}$$

where shows that the result is independent of the mass and only depends on the quantized parameter J. As a consequence the EPL is a universal law for this kind of massive gravity theory in the WAdS_3 background. It is also consistent with the level matching condition $(E_L - E_R)$ for the modes given in definition (2.11).

As a complement check we explore the first law of black hole thermodynamics for the inner and outer horizons, separately. The temperature and angular velocity for the event horizon of the WAdS_3 black hole, which is described by (2.2) and (2.3), are

$$T^{+}=\frac{\nu^2+3}{4\pi l}\,\frac{r_+-r_-}{2\nu\, r_+-\sqrt{(\nu^2+3)\, r_+r_-}}\,,\qquad \Omega^{+}=\frac{2}{l\,(2\nu\, r_+-\sqrt{(\nu^2+3)\, r_+r_-})}\,, \tag{2.17}$$

and for the inner horizon, respectively, are

$$T^{-}=\frac{\nu^2+3}{4\pi l}\,\frac{r_+-r_-}{2\nu\, r_--\sqrt{(\nu^2+3)\, r_+r_-}}\,,\qquad \Omega^{-}=\frac{2}{l\,(2\nu\, r_--\sqrt{(\nu^2+3)\, r_+r_-})}\,. \tag{2.18}$$

Thus from the relation (2.5) for the mass and angular momentum and the entropies (2.7) and (2.13), in addition to the above variables, one can see that all of them satisfy the following first laws of the non-extremal black holes thermodynamics

$$\pm\, dM = T^{\pm} dS^{\pm} \pm\, \Omega^{\pm} dJ. \tag{2.19}$$

We also calculate that the physical parameters are consistent with the Smarr-like formula [46] for the WAdS_3 black hole [37], [40]

$$\pm\, M = T^{\pm} S^{\pm} \pm 2\,\Omega^{\pm} J\,. \tag{2.20}$$

3 BTZ black hole in the MMG sector

The other intriguing ghost free, unitary(tachyon free), four-derivatives toy model of 3D massive gravity is the MMG theory. Though MMG is s quadratic curvature theory of gravity, the linearization of this theory around an AdS like solution gives only one massive mode as TMG, so this is called minimal massive gravity [28]. The unusual feature of this theory is that it has no Lagrangian in the metric formalism, but is described at the level of the equations of motion by the following equations

$$\frac{1}{\mu}\, C_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\sigma}\, G_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\Lambda}_0\, g_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\gamma}{\mu^2}\, J_{\mu\nu}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $J_{\mu\nu}$ is a symmetric tensor

$$J_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2|g|}\, \varepsilon_{\mu}{}^{\rho\lambda}\, \varepsilon_{\nu}{}^{\tau\eta} S_{\rho\tau} S_{\lambda\eta}\,, \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$C_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-|g|}}\, \varepsilon_{\mu}{}^{\tau\eta} D_{\tau} S_{\eta\nu}\ ,\qquad S_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} R\,, \tag{3.3}$$

are the Cotton tensor and Shouten tensor, respectively. γ is a non-zero dimensionless constant and σ, Λ_0 in the case of TMG are replaced by $\bar{\sigma}$, $\bar{\Lambda}_0$ in (3.1) for MMG, since it is not obvious they should be equal to

initial values. It has been shown in Ref. [30] that this theory can be described by a 3-form Lagrangian in the Chern-Simons like formalism as

$$L_{MMG} = -\sigma\, e \cdot R + \frac{\Lambda_0}{6}\, e \cdot e \times e + h \cdot T + \frac{1}{2\mu}\left(\omega \cdot d\omega + \frac{1}{3}\,\omega \cdot \omega \times \omega\right) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\, e \cdot h \times h\,, \tag{3.4}$$

where e, h and ω are three 1-form fields and R, T are the 2-form Lorentz curvature and torsion tensors. A well-defined black hole solution for the general 3D gravities, such as MMG in here, is the rotating BTZ black hole. There are also other solutions which can be found in Refs. [47, 48].

The metric of this black hole in the ADM formalism is given by

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + N^{-2} dr^2 + r^2 (d\varphi + N_\varphi dt)^2\,, \tag{3.5}$$

where the functions N, N_φ are given by

$$N^2 = \frac{(r^2 - r_+^2)(r^2 - r_-^2)}{r^2 l^2}\,, \quad N_\varphi = \frac{r_+ r_-}{l\, r^2}\,. \tag{3.6}$$

Similar to WAdS_3 black hole, r_+ and r_- play the rule of the inner and outer horizons of the BTZ black hole, which the physical quantities can be described in terms of them. Substituting the metric (3.5) in the equation (3.1) gives the following constraint in the parameter space of the theory

$$\bar{\Lambda}_0 = -\frac{\gamma + 4\,\mu^2 l^2 \bar{\sigma}}{4\mu^2 l^4}. \tag{3.7}$$

3.1 Conserved charges

We have computed the conserved charges of this theory for the BTZ black hole in the Hamiltonian formalism [30]. Similar calculations from the ADT approach and phase space formalism have been done in Refs. [49], [50]. The mass and angular momentum of the black hole are computed as

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \frac{1}{4G}\left[(\sigma + \alpha C)\frac{r_+^2 + r_-^2}{2l^2} + \frac{1}{\mu l}\,\frac{r_+ r_-}{l^2}\right], \\ J &= \frac{1}{4G}\left[(\sigma + \alpha C)\frac{r_+ r_-}{l} + \frac{1}{\mu l}\,\frac{r_+^2 + r_-^2}{2l}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where the constant σ, α and C are introduced in the relations (2.12) and (4.7) of Ref. [30]. Since this theory has no Lagrangian in metric formalism, we can not utilize the Wald's formula for calculating the entropy. On the other hand, there is a phase space formalism which gives the correct results [51], [52]. The details of calculation are given in Ref. [50]. Therefore, the entropy of the BTZ black hole in the MMG theory is

$$S^+ = \frac{A_h}{4G}\left[(\sigma + \alpha C) + \frac{1}{\mu l}\frac{r_-}{r_+}\right], \tag{3.9}$$

where $A_h = 2\pi r_+$ is the area of outer event horizon. The BTZ black holes are asymptotically AdS_3 solutions, so from the AdS/CFT conjecture [27] and the Brown-Henneaux approach [53], we can find the central charges

of the algebras determining the asymptotic symmetry group. There are two versions of the Virasoro algebra with the left and right central charges

$$c_L = \frac{3l}{2G}\left(\sigma + \alpha C - \frac{1}{\mu l}\right), \qquad c_R = \frac{3l}{2G}\left(\sigma + \alpha C + \frac{1}{\mu l}\right). \tag{3.10}$$

In the CFT side, by defining the left and right temperatures for the BTZ black hole [54] by

$$T_L \equiv \frac{r_+ - r_-}{2\pi l^2} \quad , \quad T_R \equiv \frac{r_+ + r_-}{2\pi l^2}, \tag{3.11}$$

and the Cardy's formula (2.9) and the values in (3.10), we again obtain the entropy (3.9). The zero mode energies of the left and right sectors in the asymptotic theory are also defined as the following

$$E_L = \frac{\pi^2}{6} c_L T_L^2 = \frac{M\,l - J}{2\,l}, \qquad E_R = \frac{\pi^2}{6}\, c_R T_R^2 = \frac{M\,l + J}{2\,l}. \tag{3.12}$$

3.2 Thermodynamics

The thermodynamic properties, including the temperature (surface gravity) and angular velocity at each horizon, can be expected to play a central role in understanding the entropy at the microscopic level. Therefore, for the BTZ black hole, the temperature and angular velocity of the event horizon r_+ are given by

$$T^+ = \frac{1}{2\pi}\,\kappa\,|_{r=r_+} = \frac{r_+}{2\pi l^2}\,(1 - \frac{r_-^2}{r_+^2}), \qquad \Omega^+ = \frac{1}{l}\,N_\varphi|_{r=r_+} = \frac{r_-}{l\,r_+}. \tag{3.13}$$

where κ is the surface gravity on the horizon. We need the values of the parameters for the inner Cauchy horizon to verify the universality of the EPL. As previous, the energy(mass) becomes negative inside the event horizon and the entropy for the space-like Killing vector field becomes

$$S^- = \frac{A_h}{4G}\left[(\sigma + \alpha C) + \frac{1}{\mu l}\frac{r_+}{r_-}\right], \tag{3.14}$$

which here, $A_h = 2\pi r_-$ is the area of the inner horizon. So the product of the entropies (3.9) and (3.14) becomes

$$\frac{S^+ S^-}{4\pi^2} = \frac{Ml + \mu\, l(\sigma + \alpha C)\, J}{8\mu G}. \tag{3.15}$$

This result shows the failure of the universality of the EPL. That is, the product is not independent of the mass of the black hole, as must be in general. But it can be seen that in the limit $\mu \to \infty$ the result only depends on the angular momentum J, so we expect when there is a holographic gravitational anomaly [35] (such as TMG), this rule has been broken. Similar to the happening that occurs for the central function $c = \frac{l}{2G}\, g_{\mu\nu}\, \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_3}{\partial R_{\mu\nu}}$, in the holographic calculations [6], that is

$$c_L - c_R = -\frac{3}{\mu\, G}. \tag{3.16}$$

We can rewrite the EPL (3.15) in terms of the energies in (3.12) by

$$\frac{S^+ S^-}{4\pi^2} = \frac{l}{6}\,(c_R E_R - c_L E_L), \tag{3.17}$$

or analogously by

$$\frac{S^+S^-}{4\pi^2}=\frac{l}{12}\Big((c_R+c_L)\,(E_R-E_L)+(c_R-c_L)\,(E_R+E_L)\Big)\,. \tag{3.18}$$

where again in the limit $\mu\to\infty$, from (3.16) the second term vanishes and the central charges in (3.10) become equal ans so, the EPL (3.18) leads to the relation (3.17). In the final stage, we consider the first law of thermodynamics for the BTZ black hole in MMG. We need to compute the temperature and angular velocity of the inner horizon, which become

$$T^-=\frac{r_+^2-r_-^2}{2\pi l^2 r_-}\,,\qquad \Omega^-=\frac{r_+}{l\,r_-}\,. \tag{3.19}$$

Now from the mass and angular momentum (3.8) and the entropies (3.9) and (3.14) together the intensive quantities computed at the outer (3.13) and inner (3.19) horizons, the first law holds like the relation (2.19). Another thermodynamical calculations for the general spinning BTZ black holes has been done in Ref. [55]. The Smarr-like relations also hold for the BTZ black hole, in the form

$$\pm\,M=\frac{1}{2}\,T^{\pm}S^{\pm}\pm\,\Omega^{\pm}J\,. \tag{3.20}$$

4 Discussion

In this paper, we have investigated the universality of the product law of inner Cauchy and outer event horizon's entropies for two particular branches of the non-extremal black hole solutions in the three-dimensional gravity toy models. In the first case, we studied the rotating space-like warped AdS_3 black hole in the quadratic curvature gravity, which is called new massive gravity and as a second one, we considered the rotating BTZ black hole in the minimal massive gravity as a minimal extension of the topologically massive gravity, but is ghost free and unitary. In both inspections, we have used the canonical Hamiltonian first order formalism to calculate the conserved quantities of the black holes. Specially, we compute the mass and angular momentum, which are important in searching the EPL for the solutions.

On the thermodynamic side, not only we obtained the quantities for the event outer horizon, but also compute them for the inner Cauchy horizon, where the ADM energy or mass becomes negative on it. We have shown that for the $WAdS_3$ in the NMG sector the universality was held and the product is independent of the mass, whereas for the BTZ in the MMG theory it was failed. We have seen that this violation comes from the gravitational anomalous term by the coupling constant $\frac{1}{\mu}$, such that in the limit $\mu\to\infty$, the product becomes mass independent and holds the level matching condition (E_R-E_L). Having used the asymptotic symmetry group analysis, we have checked out that the entropies satisfy the Cardy's formula for the CFT dual theories. Finally, we shown that the thermodynamical quantities of the inner and outer horizons held the first law of black hole thermodynamics and the Smarr's formula for the mass of the black holes.

References

[1] J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter and S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **31**, 161 (1973).

[2] J. D. Bekenstein, Lett. Nuovo Cim. **4**, 737 (1972).

[3] S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975)

[4] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, Phys. Lett. B **379**, 99 (1996)

[5] A. Sen, JHEP **0509**, 038 (2005)

[6] P. Kraus and F. Larsen, JHEP **0509**, 034 (2005)

[7] M. Ansorg and J. Hennig, Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 222001 (2008)

[8] M. Ansorg and J. Hennig, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 221102 (2009)

[9] M. Cvetic and D. Youm, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 2612 (1996)

[10] F. Larsen, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 1005 (1997)

[11] M. Cvetic and F. Larsen, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 4994 (1997)

[12] M. Cvetic and F. Larsen, Nucl. Phys. B **506**, 107 (1997)

[13] M. Cvetic, G. W. Gibbons, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, hep-th/0504080.

[14] M. C. Bento and O. Bertolami, Phys. Lett. B **368**, 198 (1996)

[15] M. Cvetic, G. W. Gibbons and C. N. Pope, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 121301 (2011)

[16] D. Marolf and A. Ori, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 124026 (2012)

[17] A. Castro and M. J. Rodriguez, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 024008 (2012)

[18] K. S. Stelle, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 953 (1977).

[19] K. S. Stelle, Gen. Rel. Grav. **9**, 353 (1978).

[20] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 201301 (2009)

[21] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm and P. K. Townsend, Annals Phys. **325**, 1118 (2010)

[22] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 124042 (2009)

[23] M. Nakasone and I. Oda, Prog. Theor. Phys. **121**, 1389 (2009)

[24] I. Oda, JHEP **0905**, 064 (2009)

[25] W. Li, W. Song and A. Strominger, JHEP **0804**, 082 (2008)

[26] J. M. Maldacena, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38**, 1113 (1999) [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998)]

[27] E. Witten, arXiv:0706.3359 [hep-th].

[28] E. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm, W. Merbis, A. J. Routh and P. K. Townsend, Class. Quant. Grav. **31**, 145008 (2014)

[29] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 975 (1982).

[30] D. Mahdavian Yekta, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 6, 064044 (2015)

[31] D. Anninos, W. Li, M. Padi, W. Song and A. Strominger, JHEP **0903**, 130 (2009)

[32] G. Clement, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 105015 (2009)

[33] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1849 (1992)

[34] S. Detournay, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 031101 (2012)

[35] P. Kraus and F. Larsen, JHEP **0601**, 022 (2006)

[36] G. Clement, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 165002 (2009)

[37] A. Ghodsi and D. M. Yekta, JHEP **1206**, 131 (2012)

[38] S. Nam, J. D. Park and S. H. Yi, JHEP **1007**, 058 (2010)

[39] R. L. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C. W. Misner, Gen. Rel. Grav. **40**, 1997 (2008)

[40] D. Mahdavian Yekta, Phys. Lett. B **759**, 115 (2016)

[41] L. F. Abbott and S. Deser, Nucl. Phys. B **195**, 76 (1982).

[42] S. Deser and B. Tekin, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 084009 (2003)

[43] R. M. Wald, Phys. Rev. D **48**, no. 8, R3427 (1993)

[44] H. Sugawara, Phys. Rev. **170**, 1659 (1968).

[45] J. L. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. B **270**, 186 (1986).

[46] L. Smarr, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 71 (1973) [Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 521 (1973)].

[47] A. S. Arvanitakis, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, no. 11, 115010 (2015)

[48] E. Altas and B. Tekin, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 2, 025033 (2015)

[49] B. Tekin, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 8, 081701 (2014)

[50] M. R. Setare and H. Adami, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 10, 104039 (2015)

[51] S. N. Solodukhin, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 024015 (2006)

[52] Y. Tachikawa, Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, 737 (2007)

[53] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, Commun. Math. Phys. **104**, 207 (1986).

[54] J. M. Maldacena and A. Strominger, JHEP **9812**, 005 (1998)

[55] P. Pradhan, JETP Lett. **102**, no. 7, 427 (2015)